1	Tomas E. Margain, Bar No. 193555	
2	DAL BON & MARGAIN	
	28 North 1st Street, Suite 210	
3	San Jose, CA 95113 Telephone: (408) 297-4729	
4	1 5 (400) 207 4720	
_	Email: margainlaw@hotmail.com	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
6		
7	Douglas M. Larsen, Bar No. 142852 Travis R. Stokes, Bar No. 225122	
	FISHMAN, LARSEN, GOLDRING & ZEITLER	
8	7112 North Fresno Street, Suite 450	
9	Fresno, CA 93720 Telephone: 559.256.5000	
10	Eax: 550 256 5005	
10	Email: larsen@flgz.net	
11	Attorneys for Defendant, ARMANDO VARGAS	
12		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	FOR NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
15		No.: 08-CV-01804PVT
	RIVAS; MARCELINO HERNANDEZ;	
16	ALEJANDRO AGUILAR; RAMIRO HERNANDEZ PARRA; SALOMON GOMEZ; STIP I	II ATION AND IXXXXXXXXXXI
17	, []	ER TO DISMISS DEFENDANT
18) '	ANDO VARGAS
	GONZALES, and ALEJANDRO	
19		
20	Plaintiffs,	
21	vs.	
21	ARMANDO VARGAS; JAVIER VARGAS;	
22	NOE VARGAS; EDITH R. SAUNO;	
23	Defendants.	
24	And related cross-action,	
24	Tind related cross-action,	
25		

- 1 Ruiz, et al. v. Vargas

08-CV-01804 PVT

Plaintiffs JOSE FELIPE RUIZ, CARLOS AGUILAR RIVAS, MARCELINO
HERNANDEZ, ALEJANDRO AGUILAR, RAMIRO HERNANDEZ PARRA, SALOMON
GOMEZ, MAURO HERNANDEZ, HERIBERTO PAMAZ-LUCAS, ANTONIO
HERNANDEZ, ANTONIO GONZALES, and ALEJANDRO GONZALES and Defendant
ARMANDO VARGAS through Counsel hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. On April 3, 2008, Plaintiffs filed their complaint against ARMANDO VARGAS.
- 2. On July 30, 2009, Defendant ARMANDO VARGAS filed for bankruptcy protection (Chapter 7) in the Northern District in an action titled *In re Armando Vargas Pena and Martina de Vargas*, case number 09-56214.
- 3. On July 1, 2010, The United States Bankruptcy Court of the Northern District of California, San Jose Division granted a discharge of ARMANDO VARGAS under section 727 of title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code.
- 4. Consequently, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the above-named parties only that the claims and causes of action asserted by Plaintiffs in their Second Amended Complaint against Defendant ARMANDO VARGAS in the above-captioned action be and hereby are dismissed with prejudice pursuant to FRCP 41(a)(2).

9 FOR PLAINTIFFS

DAL BON & MARGAIN

DATED: November 4, 2010 By: //s// Tomas E. Margain
Tomas E. Margain

FOR DEFENDANT FISHMAN, LARSEN, GOLDRING ARMANDO VARGAS & ZEITLER

DATED: By: //s//Travis R. Stokes

Travis R. Stokes

- 2
<u>Ruiz, et al. v. Vargas</u> **08-CV-01804 PVT**

ORDER Pursuant to the above-Stipulation between the above-named parties and for good cause shown, all claims and causes of action asserted by Plaintiffs against Defendant ARMANDO VARGAS are hereby dismissed with prejudice. IT IS SO ORDERED that the Second Amended Complaint be dismissed with prejudice against Defendant Armando Vargas. Patricia V. Trumbull November 5, 2010 DATED: UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

- 3
<u>Ruiz, et al. v. Vargas</u> **08-CV-01804 PVT**